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Nondiscrimination and Anti-Harassment

Effective Date

July 1995

Last Revision Date

December 2019

Responsible Party

Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics, (208) 426-1258

Scope and Audience

This policy applies to all Members of the University Community, including employees, students, affiliates, affiliate faculty, volunteers, contractors, vendors, customers, visitors to the University, and participants in a University-sponsored program or activity.

Discrimination or Harassment on the basis of sex, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, or pregnancy are addressed in University Policy 1065 (Sexual Harassment, Sexual Misconduct, Dating Violence, Domestic Violence, and Stalking).

Additional Authority

• Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
• The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967
• The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 as amended
• Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
• The Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008
• The Vietnam Era Veterans’ Readjustment Assistance Act
• The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA)
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- Executive Order 11246 regarding non-discriminatory practices in hiring and employment
- 41 CFR 60-1.35(c)
- The Idaho Human Rights Act – Idaho Code Chapter 59, Title 67
- The Higher Education Act of 1965 as amended by the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act
- Idaho Code Sections 18-7902, 18-7905, and 18-7906
- University Policy 1065 (Sexual Harassment, Sexual Misconduct, Dating Violence, Domestic Violence, and Stalking)
- University Policy 2020 (Student Code of Conduct)

1. Policy Purpose

To detail the University’s commitment to providing an employment, learning and campus-living environment free from unlawful Discrimination and Harassment.

2. Policy Statement

The University strives to create an environment that supports, encourages and rewards career and educational advancement on the basis of ability and performance. Accordingly, Boise State University prohibits Discrimination or Harassment on the basis of a Protected Class. The University is committed to maintaining a working and learning environment that is free from Discrimination or Harassment, in which all Members of the University Community are treated with dignity and respect.

The University will promptly and fairly investigate allegations of Discrimination or Harassment in accordance with this policy.

3. Definitions

3.1 Complainant

An individual reported to have experienced conduct prohibited by this policy, regardless of whether the individual makes a report or seeks disciplinary action. When the University believes that a Respondent represents an ongoing threat to the University community, but the Complainant does not want to pursue a complaint, the University may assume the role of Complainant.

3.2 Confidential Resources

University Health Services medical and counseling staff who learn of a potential violation of this policy while performing services in the scope of their employment as licensed clinicians, and
graduate students in Counselor Education who are acting as counselors in a practicum course. Confidential Resources are not Responsible Employees as defined by this policy but are still Reporters for Clery Act purposes in accordance with University Policy 12000 (Clery Act Compliance).

3.3 Discrimination

Treating an individual or group differently or less favorably (e.g., denied rights, benefits, equitable treatment, or access to facilities available to all others) on the basis of Protected Class.

3.4 Good Faith

Intention to be open and honest with no deliberate intention to deceive or defraud.

3.5 Harassment

Unwelcome or offensive behavior that is based upon an individual’s or group’s Protected Class. Harassment may include conduct that is verbal, written, or physical in nature. Harassment that creates a Hostile Environment is prohibited by this policy. A person is capable of engaging in prohibited Harassment even if the person belongs to the same Protected Class as the individual or group targeted.

3.6 Hostile Environment

Conduct that is so severe, pervasive, or persistent that it creates an environment that (1) would cause a reasonable person substantial emotional distress and undermine the person’s ability to work, study, learn, or otherwise participate in University programs or services; and (2) actually does cause the harassed person(s) any of these difficulties. The more severe the conduct, the less need there is to demonstrate a repetitive series of incidents created a Hostile Environment, particularly if the Harassment is physical. A single or isolated incident of Discrimination or Harassment may create a Hostile Environment if the incident is sufficiently severe.

3.7 Members of the University Community

University employees, students, affiliates, affiliate faculty, volunteers, contractors, vendors, customers, visitors, and participants in a University-sponsored program or activity.

3.8 Protected Class

A group with a common characteristic who are legally protected from adverse treatment on the basis of that characteristic. For purposes of this policy, Protected Class characteristics include race, ethnicity, color, religion, age, national origin, physical or mental disability, veteran status, genetic information, or any other status protected under applicable federal, state, or local law. (Protected class characteristics of sex, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender
expression, or pregnancy are cover under University Policy 1065). If a person discriminates against or harasses an individual or group because the person believes the individual or group is a member of a Protected Class, that conduct may violate this policy even if the individual or group does not actually belong to that class.

3.9 Reporter

An individual who reports alleged prohibited conduct but who is not the individual who is the target of the prohibited conduct.

3.10 Respondent

The individual, individuals or group alleged to have engaged in conduct prohibited by this policy.

3.11 Responsible Employees

All University employees except those defined as Confidential Resources. Responsible Employees are mandatory Reporters for purposes of this policy.

Responsible Employees are not required to report information disclosed (1) at public awareness events (e.g., candlelight vigils, protests, or other public forums in which individuals may disclose conduct prohibited by this policy), or (2) during an individual’s participation as a subject in an Institutional Review Board-approved human subjects research project. The University may provide information about available resources at public awareness events, however, and Institutional Review Boards may, as they deem appropriate, require researchers to provide such information to all subjects of approved projects. If a Responsible Employee is unsure whether an event would be considered a public awareness event, the Responsible Employee should contact Institutional Compliance for clarification.

With the exception of student employees, students are not mandatory Reporters, but are encouraged to report suspected violations of this policy.

3.12 Retaliation

Adverse treatment of an individual because that individual opposed Discrimination or Harassment, made a Good Faith complaint pursuant to this policy, or conducted or participated in Good Faith in an investigation conducted pursuant to this policy.

3.13 Third Party

An individual who is not a Member of the University Community.
3.14 Witness

An individual who may have information relevant to a report of prohibited conduct. A Witness may be a student, an employee, or a Third Party.

4. Responsibilities and Procedures

4.1 General Responsibilities

All Members of the University Community are responsible for following this policy to create an environment free from Discrimination and Harassment. The University expects all Members of the University Community to avoid any behavior or conduct that could reasonably be interpreted as Discrimination or Harassment as defined under this policy.

4.2 Specific Responsibilities

4.2.1 Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics

The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics is responsible for overseeing Boise State’s non-discrimination and anti-harassment efforts, including but not limited to: (1) the review, investigation and resolution of complaints alleging violations of this policy by or against Members of the University Community, and (2) identifying and addressing patterns or systemic problems that are identified during the review of these complaints. The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics is also responsible for the periodic review and assessment of this policy and related policies and procedures.

4.2.2 Members of the University Community

Members of the University Community who (a) supervise other employees, students, contractors, or vendors; (b) teach or advise students; or (c) have management authority related to a University sponsored program or activity must:

a. Engage in appropriate measures to prevent violations of this policy; and

b. Promptly notify the Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics after being informed of, or having a reasonable basis to suspect that there has been, Discrimination against, Harassment of, or Retaliation against a Member of the University Community.

4.2.3 Responsible Employees

Responsible Employees who observe or otherwise become aware of Discrimination, Harassment or other misconduct that may violate this policy must report such conduct in accordance with this policy as soon as practical after learning of the potential violation. All other
Members of the University Community are encouraged to promptly report possible or actual violations of this policy.

4.2.4 Confidential Resources

Confidential Resources will collect general aggregate data about potential violations of this policy including the nature and general location of the incidents. Aggregate data must be reported to the Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics at the end of each semester.

4.2.5 Campus Security Authorities (CSAs)

Faculty and staff designated as Campus Security Authorities for the purpose of Clery Act compliance must also report alleged crimes to the Clery Compliance Officer. For more information, please see http://security.boisestate.edu/csa/. All Members of the University Community are encouraged to report any alleged crime to the University’s Department of Public Safety.

4.2.6 Requirement for Members of the University Community to Cooperate During Investigations

Members of the University Community must cooperate with the University in any investigation of allegations under this policy.

4.3 Resources for Victims

In an emergency, call Public Safety at (208) 426-6911, activate a blue emergency phone on campus, or call 911.

4.3.1 Confidential Resources

Victims of Discrimination or Harassment seeking confidential University support may contact one of the following:

- University Health Services – (208) 426-1459
- University Counseling Services – (208) 426-1601

4.3.2 Preserving Evidence

It is important to preserve evidence of any offense as it may be helpful when seeking a protection order or to prosecute the offender.
4.4 Complaint Procedures

4.4.1 Authority

Boise State University has authority to investigate alleged violations of this policy:

a. That occurred on or may have a continuing effect on University premises;

b. That occurred in the context of an official Boise State University program or activity, regardless of location;

c. Where both Complainant and Respondent are Members of the University Community; or

d. Where the Respondent is a student or employee of Boise State University.

e. Where the Respondent is affiliated with Boise State University, but is not a Boise State University student or employee, procedures of the affiliated institution may apply to the investigation and disciplinary process.

4.4.1A Timeliness/Statute of Limitations

Boise State has authority to investigate reports of alleged Discrimination or Harassment, or incidents of Discrimination or Harassment, the University otherwise becomes aware of, within 180 calendar days from the date the incident(s) occurred. For ongoing Harassment, if the University becomes aware of the alleged conduct within 180 days of the last incident of Harassment, the University may also consider incidents that occurred prior to the last 180 days. Any complaint filed after 180 days must include a statement of good cause explaining why the complaint was not filed within the 180-day period. The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics shall make a determination whether the statement of good cause is sufficient to permit the investigation to move forward.

4.4.2 Respondent is Unknown or not a Member of the University Community

Where the Respondent is unknown or not a Member of the University Community, the Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will assist individuals in identifying appropriate on and off campus resources and, at the individual’s request, will assist individuals in contacting law enforcement. In addition, Boise State may take other actions such as providing interim measures or accommodations to protect the individual and the University community.

4.4.3 Reporting Options

Complaints of conduct that may violate this policy should be filed through one of the following:
• Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics at (208) 426-1258; or

• By email to: reportdiscrimination@boisestate.edu; or

• EthicsPoint Hotline toll-free at 1-855-863-1299, or https://secure.ethicspoint.com/domain/media/en/gui/37887/index.html

4.4.4 Reports to Law Enforcement

Any individual who believes they have been a victim of a crime is encouraged to report the crime to law enforcement. Individuals can reach the Boise Police Department on campus by calling (208) 426-6911 or may report anonymously by calling (208) 343-COPS or online at https://secureforms.boisestate.edu/security.

4.4.5 Rights of Complainant

A Complainant has the right to:

a. Be treated in accordance with the University’s Shared Values.

b. Be fully informed of and participate in all steps in the grievance process.

c. Report conduct prohibited under this policy to local law enforcement but not file a complaint with the university.

d. Report conduct prohibited under this policy to local law enforcement and file a complaint with the University. (If an alleged policy violation is also the subject of a law enforcement investigation, the University may suspend its investigation for a period not to exceed 10 days to avoid interfering with law enforcement’s investigation.).

e. File a complaint only with the University and request that the University investigate the matter.

f. File a complaint only with the University but request that the University not take any action other than to provide support services.

If the University believes that a Respondent presents an ongoing threat to the University community, it may determine that its obligation to safeguard the University community overrides the Complainant’s wishes not to commence a formal investigation. If the University determines this to be the case, the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will notify the Complainant in advance of commencing a formal investigation. In
such a case, the Complainant is not obligated to participate in the investigation. If a Complainant elects not to participate, the University will assume the role of Complainant.

When weighing requests not to commence a formal investigation, the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will consider a number of factors, including but not limited to:

(i.) The seriousness of the alleged prohibited conduct

(ii.) The respective ages and roles of Complainant and Respondent

(iii.) Whether there have been other complaints or reports of Harassment or misconduct against Respondent

(iv.) Whether circumstances suggest there is an increased risk of Respondent committing additional acts of violence

(v.) Whether Respondent has a history of arrests or records from a prior school indicating a history of violence

(vi.) Whether Respondent threatened further violence against Complainant or others

(vii.) Whether violence was committed by multiple perpetrators

(viii.) Whether the circumstances suggest there is an increased risk of future acts of violence under similar circumstances

(ix.) Whether violence was perpetrated with a weapon

(x.) Whether the institution possesses other means to obtain relevant evidence (e.g., security cameras or personal, physical evidence)

In all other cases, the University will obtain the Complainant’s consent before commencing an investigation.

g. Request that the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics seek an informal resolution to a complaint. If a Complainant initially elects to participate in an informal resolution process, the Complainant retains the right to withdraw from the informal resolution process before it is complete and request a formal investigation.
h. Have an advisor of the Complainant’s choice accompany Complainant to all meetings, interviews and proceedings as outlined in Section 4.4.7, below.

i. Have a complaint alleging a violation of this policy processed in accordance with this policy.

j. Have an equal opportunity to provide information, names of Witnesses and other evidence to the investigator.

k. Review and respond to the investigator’s written summary of information provided by other parties to the complaint.

l. Access University academic and support services and receive referrals to external support resources (for example, the Employee Assistance Program). For additional information about support services, see Appendix B.

m. Request interim measures including but not limited to:

   (i.) A University-based no contact order limiting Respondent’s ability to communicate with, or otherwise contact, Complainant either directly or through a Third Party.

   (ii.) Academic accommodations, such as course withdrawals or incompletes.

   (iii.) For university employees, work assignments, work schedule, supervisory responsibilities, or work location changes.

   (iv.) For students, altering housing assignments, dining arrangements, or other university services for Complainant and/or Respondent.

   (v.) Campus security escorts

   When granted, interim measures will remain in place until: 1) the investigation is complete and the investigator determines no policy violation occurred; 2) the investigator determines a policy violation occurred and the sanctioning process is completed; or 3) the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics notifies Complainant and Respondent in writing that the interim measures are no longer in effect.

n. Request a review or modification of interim measures. Such requests should be submitted to the Office of Institutional Compliance at (208) 426-1258 or reportdiscrimination@boisestate.edu.

o. Be free from Retaliation.
p. Be informed in writing of the findings of fact and outcome of the complaint.

q. Be informed of and have an equal right of appeal.

4.4.6 Rights of Respondent

A respondent has the right to:

a. Be treated in accordance with the University’s Shared Values.

b. Be fully informed of and participate in all steps in the grievance process.

c. Have an advisor of the Respondent’s choice accompany them to all meetings, interviews and proceedings as outlined in Section 4.4.7., below.

d. Access University academic and support services and receive referrals to external support resources (for example, the Employee Assistance Program). For additional information about support services, see Appendix B.

e. Respondents who are not employed by the University may decline to participate in an investigation. If a non-employee Respondent declines to participate in an investigation, the investigator will make a determination as to whether a policy violation has occurred based on other information gathered in the course of the investigation.

f. Decline to participate in the informal resolution of a complaint in favor of a formal investigation. A Respondent also retains the right to withdraw from the informal resolution process before it is complete and request a formal investigation.

g. Have an equal opportunity to provide information, names of Witnesses and other evidence to the investigator.

h. Review and respond to the investigator’s written summary of information provided by other parties to the complaint.

i. Request interim measures including but not limited to:

   (i.) A University-based no contact order, limiting Complainant’s ability to communicate with, or otherwise contact, Respondent either directly or through a Third Party.

   (ii.) Academic Accommodations, such as course withdrawals or incompletes.
(iii.) For University employees, work assignments, work schedule, supervisory responsibilities, or work location changes.

(iv.) For students, altering housing assignments, dining arrangements, or other campus services for Complainant and/or Respondent.

(v.) Campus security escorts

When granted, interim measures will remain in place until: 1) the investigation is complete and the investigator determines no policy violation occurred; 2) the investigator determines a policy violation occurred and the sanctioning process is completed; or 3) the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics notifies Complainant and Respondent in writing that the interim measures are no longer in effect.

j. Request a review or modification of interim measures. Such requests should be submitted to the Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics at (208) 426-1258 or reportdiscrimination@boisestate.edu.

k. Be informed in writing of the findings of fact and outcome of the investigation.

l. Be informed of and have an equal right of appeal.

4.4.7 Advisors of Choice

Both Complainant and Respondent have the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice when attending any meeting, interview or proceeding that takes place pursuant to this policy. An advisor may take notes and quietly confer with the party being advised, but may not speak on behalf of the party or in any way disrupt any meeting or proceeding. Advisors who fail to adhere to these requirements will be asked to leave the meeting or proceeding. Witnesses or other parties involved in the investigation or adjudication process are not permitted to serve as advisors and are not entitled to advisors.

Complainant or Respondent may choose to retain an attorney or other paid professional to act as an advisor in this process. However, each party shall be solely responsible for paying any fees charged by the advisor. All advisors are required to adhere to the requirements above regardless of whether they are being compensated.

The University has several trained advisors who can be appointed at the request of the Complainant or Respondent.
4.4.8 Support Services

Both Complainant and Respondent will be given information regarding support services, including confidential counseling for students and/or employees. The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will assist both parties to ensure that they have access to all available resources. For additional information about support services, see Appendix B.

4.4.9 Confidentiality

When a complaint alleging a violation of this policy is investigated, all parties to the investigation, including Witnesses, will be notified of the University’s expectation of confidentiality. The University will only release information obtained in the course of an investigation on a “need to know” basis to the extent permitted by this policy and applicable law and consistent with the University’s thorough investigation of the complaint.

Investigation records are maintained in accordance with Idaho law and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (“FERPA”), as well as other applicable laws or regulations (collectively “privacy laws”). Any public release of information, including that to comply with the timely warning provisions of the Clery Act, will not include the name of a Complainant or information that could easily lead to a Complainant’s identification.

Breaches of confidentiality will be reviewed and may be considered a violation of this policy subject to disciplinary action.

4.4.10 Investigation Process

4.4.10A Intake

Once a complaint alleging that this policy has been violated is received by the Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics, an investigator will meet with the Complainant or Reporter to gather information about the allegations and determine whether the alleged behavior constitutes a potential violation of this policy. If so, the investigator will commence an investigation. If the investigator determines that the allegations, if true, would not constitute a policy violation, they have the discretion to either close the investigation or address the allegations informally.

4.4.10B Notice

The investigator will notify Respondent of the nature of the allegations by issuing a formal notice of allegations, typically sent by email. The notice will include: (a) information regarding the allegations of conduct prohibited under this policy including the date, location and a description of the conduct alleged to violate this policy; (b) the name of the Reporter and/or the
Complainant; (c) a clear statement of the interim measures being implemented at the time of the notice, if any; (d) the University’s policy prohibiting Retaliation against individuals who file Discrimination complaints or cooperate in the University’s investigation of Discrimination complaints; (e) information regarding the investigation process; (f) information regarding investigation timelines; (g) notice of Respondent’s rights under this policy, including the right to an advisor of Respondent’s choice at all stages of the process; and (h) information regarding support services.

If the notice is delivered in person, Respondent will be instructed to schedule an initial interview at a later date in order to allow Respondent sufficient time to secure an advisor and fully consider and understand the information provided in the notice.

In order to help ensure that investigations are completed within the stated timeframes, Respondent has three (3) University business days from receipt of the notice to contact the Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics to schedule a meeting to discuss the allegations set forth in the notice. If the notice is sent via email, the notice will be considered received on the date that it is sent. If a notice is sent via certified mail, it is considered received three (3) University business days after the date it is mailed. If a student Respondent does not respond within seven (7) University business days of receiving the notice, the investigator may proceed with the investigation without input from the Respondent. If an employee Respondent does not respond within seven (7) University business days of receiving the notice, the University may initiate disciplinary action.

4.4.10C No Contact Order and Other Interim Measures

The investigator may issue a University-based no contact order and implement other interim measures at the time the notice is issued or at any time thereafter during the course of the investigation. Either party may request interim measures, but interim measures will not disproportionately impact the Complainant or be used as a means of Retaliation for filing a complaint.

A violation of a no contact order is a separate violation of this policy and should be immediately reported to the investigator to determine whether it will result in an additional charge of Retaliation under this policy. In that event, the Retaliation charge may, at the discretion of the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics, be added to an ongoing investigation, or it may result in a new complaint under this policy being initiated.

The violation of a no contact order may be considered when determining sanctions or disciplinary action.
4.4.10D Informal Resolution

Informal resolutions may be useful for addressing concerns when a Complainant does not want an investigation; when a formal process would not result in a satisfactory resolution; when conditions exist that do not violate the policy but nonetheless are impacting Complainant; or when education and training, mediation, or other cooperative processes are appropriate to resolve the matter.

At the discretion of the investigator, in consultation with the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics, informal processes may be used at any time during the investigation process. Informal processes include but are not limited to facilitated dialogue, mediation, and restorative justice. In all cases, informal processes may only be used when both Complainant and Respondent voluntarily agree to pursue an informal or alternative resolution. Informal or alternative resolutions must be consistent with the University’s obligations under federal and state law, the Student Code of Conduct and other applicable University policies.

Before allowing parties to use an informal resolution process, the investigator and the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics must determine that an informal or alternative approach is consistent with the University’s obligations under the law, institutional policies, and institutional values – to stop inappropriate behavior, end Discrimination or Harassment, prevent Discrimination or Harassment from happening again, and address or remedy its effects. If both parties agree to an informal resolution process, then the formal process will generally be placed on hold for a reasonable period of time to determine whether an informal resolution process will be successful.

If parties do not reach an agreement in an informal or alternative process, the Complainant may pursue whatever formal process was initially available to them. In other words, Complainants do not waive their right to pursue formal resolution simply by participating in an informal or alternative process. If informal or alternative resolution is successful, both parties will be provided with appropriate notification as to the resolution achieved.

Once a complaint has been resolved informally and the matter has been closed, the Complainant may not request a formal resolution/investigation of the same matter.

4.4.10E Formal Investigation

Reports of alleged misconduct in violation of this policy will be investigated in a fair, impartial, thorough, and prompt manner. The investigator serves in a non-advocacy role as a neutral finder of fact. In the course of the investigation, each party will have the opportunity to provide information, including Witnesses and evidence (including but not limited to emails, written documents, photographs, social media posts), relevant to the allegations set forth in the notice.
The investigator may need to meet with Complainant and Respondent more than once in order for each party to have an adequate opportunity to respond to new information obtained in the course of the investigation.

4.4.10F External Investigator

The Chief Compliance Officer (“CCO”), or the CCO’s designee, may retain an investigator from outside the University to investigate any complaint under this policy. Any outside investigator must follow the same process as an internal investigator, pursuant to this policy.

4.4.10G Investigation Summary

In the event of a formal investigation, the investigator will typically prepare an investigation summary which will include relevant information from each interview conducted by the investigator and any other evidence gathered in the course of the investigation. Information regarding the parties’ medical/mental health issues that are not relevant to the complaint will not be included in the summary. As nearly as possible, both Complainant and Respondent will be sent a written copy of the investigation summary simultaneously, and each party will be given a reasonable period of time (generally not to exceed 5 University business days) to submit a written response to the investigation summary to the investigator.

The investigator will consider the written responses provided by each party when drafting the investigation report, noting any discrepancies as appropriate. Both parties’ responses will be incorporated into the report as attachments.

Any effort, by either party, to distribute, reproduce, alter, post, or otherwise circulate the investigative summary may result in a charge of a violation of this policy and may result in a policy violation determination and sanctions pursuant to the processes outlined in this policy.

4.4.10H Investigation Timeline

The time required to complete a formal investigation will vary based on the nature and complexity of the allegations. The Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will use its best efforts to complete the investigation within sixty (60) business days from receipt of the complaint. Delays in the process will be communicated by the investigator to both Complainant and Respondent in a timely manner.

4.4.10I Final Investigation Report

The investigator will draft an investigation report with findings of fact and a determination of whether, based on a preponderance of the evidence, this policy has been violated. The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will review the draft investigation report before it is finalized to determine if the findings of facts support the investigator’s determination.
regarding whether this policy was violated. The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics will then either (1) approve the draft report becoming the final investigation report (if the policy violation determination is supported by the findings of facts); or (2) find that the investigator’s determination regarding whether there was a violation of this policy is not supported by the findings of fact and reach a different determination concerning the alleged policy violation, which will be set forth in an addendum to the final investigative report; or (3) direct the investigator to conduct additional fact finding following the same investigation process outlined in this policy. In such a case, the investigator will submit another draft investigation report at the conclusion of the additional fact finding. The draft investigation report will then be reviewed by the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics following the same process and criteria outlined above before the issuance of the final investigation report.

If the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics acts as the investigator, the Chief Compliance Officer, or the CCO’s designee, will fulfill the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics’s review responsibilities outlined in this section.

4.4.10J Outcome Notice

The Complainant and Respondent will be informed, in writing, of the investigator’s findings of fact and the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics determination regarding whether there was a violation of this policy in an outcome notice issued in accordance with applicable privacy laws. As nearly as possible, both parties will be informed of the outcome simultaneously. The parties will not receive a copy of the Final Investigation Report.

4.4.10K Policy Violation

If an investigation results in the determination that this policy was violated, the final investigation report will, for student Respondents, be forwarded to the Office of the Dean of Students to determine appropriate sanctions, or for employee Respondents, to Human Resources for disciplinary action as outlined in Section 4.4.11 or Section 4.4.17, respectively.

4.4.10L Student Complainant or Respondent Right to File Complaint

If a student Complainant or Respondent believes the University has failed to investigate the alleged policy violation in accordance with law or policy, a complaint may be filed with:

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Civil Rights, Region 10
810 3rd Avenue #750
Seattle, WA 98104
https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/portal/lobby.jsf
1-800-368-1019
4.4.10M Employee Complainant or Respondent Right to File Complaint

An employee Complainant or Respondent may file a complaint with either of the following:

Idaho Human Rights Commission
317 West Main Street
Boise, ID 83702
https://humanrights.idaho.gov
(208) 334-2664

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
(206) 220-6850
www.eeoc.gov

4.4.10N Appeal of Policy Violation Determination – Students

If the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics determines that the findings of fact do not support a violation of this policy, Complainant may appeal this determination to an Appeal Board as outlined in Section 9, Boise State University Policy 2020 (Student Code of Conduct). If the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics determines that the findings of fact do support the determination of a violation of this policy, Respondent may appeal this determination to an Appeal Board after the 1060 Sanctioning Board issues a sanction decision (See Section 9, Boise State University Policy 2020 (Student Code of Conduct).

4.4.11 Sanctions – Students

In cases where the Respondent is a student and a policy violation has been determined, a copy of the final investigation report will be sent to a Student Conduct Administrator (SCA) in the Office of the Dean of Students. The SCA will convene a 1060 Sanctioning Board to determine appropriate sanctions.

The 1060 Sanctioning Board will use their best efforts to complete the sanctioning process within thirty (30) days from the time the final investigation report is received.

4.4.11A 1060 Sanctioning Board

The SCA will convene the 1060 Sanctioning Board and set a date for a Sanctioning Conference. The 1060 Sanctioning Board will consist of 3-5 University faculty or staff members who receive annual training on Protected Class Discrimination and Harassment. A minimum of three (3) 1060 Sanctioning Board members is required to conduct a Sanctioning Conference.
4.4.11B Notice of Sanctioning Conference

The SCA will send a copy of the final investigation report and Notice of Sanctioning Conference to Complainant and Respondent via email no later than ten (10) business days prior to the Sanctioning Conference date. Complainant and Respondent are notified in the Notice of Sanctioning Conference that they have an opportunity to meet individually with the SCA for a Pre-Sanctioning Conference meeting.

a. The Notice of Sanctioning Conference will include the names of the 1060 Sanctioning Board members.

b. Each party has two (2) University business days from the date the Notice of Hearing is emailed to challenge any of the 1060 Sanctioning Board members on the ground of perceived bias. To challenge a 1060 Sanctioning Board member, a party must submit a concise written statement (no more than one page) stating the reason(s) for the challenge and why the 1060 Sanctioning Member is perceived to be biased against the party. The SCA will review any challenges received and determine if the challenged 1060 Sanctioning Board member needs to be replaced.

4.4.12 Pre-Sanctioning Conference Procedures

a. Each party may contact the Office of the Dean of Students to arrange a Pre-Sanctioning Conference meeting with the SCA. The non-redacted Final Investigation Report may be viewed in person by either party at the party’s Pre-Sanctioning Conference meeting.

b. Both parties may submit a written impact statement to the 1060 Sanctioning Board, due at least five (5) University business days prior to the day of the Sanctioning Conference.

c. A Pre-Sanctioning Conference packet will be made available to both parties and the 1060 Sanctioning Board members at least three (3) University days prior to the scheduled Sanctioning Conference.

4.4.13 Advisors

Each party is permitted one (1) advisor to accompany the party at the Sanctioning Conference. No less than two (2) University business days prior to the Sanctioning Conference, each party intending to have an advisor present at the Sanctioning Conference must submit to the SCA written notice identifying who will accompany them as their advisor. The role of advisors is limited, in accordance with University Policy 2020 and the applicable provisions of this policy.
4.4.14 Sanctioning Conference

a. Participation in the Sanctioning Conference is voluntary for both Complainant and Respondent. The Sanctioning Conference will proceed with or without participation of the parties.

b. Each party present will have an opportunity to make a brief (no more than ten (10) minutes) verbal statement to the 1060 Sanctioning Board.

c. The 1060 Sanctioning Board may ask questions of each party present at the Sanctioning Conference.

d. Parties will not be in the Sanctioning Conference room at the same time. When a party is not in the Sanctioning Conference room, that party will be able to listen to the Sanctioning Conference proceedings via phone from a separate room.

e. The 1060 Sanctioning Board will deliberate regarding the appropriate sanction(s) for the violation of this policy. Sanctions may include, but are not limited to, educational activities or experiences, loss of privileges, restorative justice measures, service projects, substance abuse and/or mental health care referrals, suspension or expulsion. Decisions of the 1060 Sanctioning Board are determined by a simple majority vote. The SCA may provide the 1060 Sanctioning Board with University precedent in similar cases, as well as Respondent’s history of conduct and prior sanctions, if any.

4.4.15 Sanctioning Decision

Within ten (10) University business days after the Sanctioning Conference, the 1060 Sanctioning Board chair will notify the SCA in writing of the sanctions imposed by the 1060 Sanctioning Board. The SCA will then notify the parties via email of the 1060 Sanctioning Board’s Decision within two (2) University business days of receiving such notification from the 1060 Sanctioning Board.

4.4.16 Appeals – Students

Appeals of policy violation determinations and/or sanction(s) imposed may be made to an Appeal Board via the Office of the Dean of Students. When an appeal is filed, sanction(s) may be placed in pending status by the Student Conduct Administrator until the appeal process has been exhausted. Select sanctions may remain implemented pending the outcome of the appeals process to ensure the safety and well-being of Members of the University Community or preservation of University property. Both Complainant and Respondent have the right to file an appeal of determination of a violation of this policy and/or a corresponding sanction as outlined in Section 9, Boise State University Policy 2020 (Student Code of Conduct).
4.4.17 Sanctions/Discipline – Employees

In cases where the Respondent is a University employee and the investigator determines a policy violation occurred, a summary of findings will be provided to the AVP for Human Resources (or designee) and the Respondent’s supervisor, who will together, in consultation with the Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics, determine whether disciplinary action is warranted.

If disciplinary action is proposed, Human Resources will assist the supervisor in initiating the applicable disciplinary process. Disciplinary and appeal processes for University employees are addressed in the following policies:

- Classified employees – Boise State University Policy 7430 (Classified Employee Disciplinary Action)
- Professional employees and faculty – Boise State University Policy 7310 (Non-Classified Employees – Due Process Procedure and Appeals)

4.4.18 Notification to Grant Funding Agencies – Employees

If an employee serves as the Principal Investigator (PI) or co-PI on a grant sponsored by a governmental entity that requires the University to report any finding/determination that a PI or co-PI has been found to have violated this policy, the University must report such finding(s) to the funding agency in accordance with grant requirements.

4.5 Protection Against Retaliation

a. Federal law and the University prohibit Members of the University Community from retaliating against anyone who has, in Good Faith, filed a complaint under, or conducted or cooperated in an investigation of, an alleged violation of this policy. The University will take all reasonable steps to prevent Retaliation or to remedy the effects if it does occur.

b. Members of the University Community who retaliate against any party to an investigation, including the investigator, conducted pursuant to this policy will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment and/or sanctions pursuant to the Student Code of Conduct.

c. Complaints of Retaliation should be promptly reported to the Office of Institutional Compliance.
4.6 Abuse of Process

Where there is evidence that a complaint was filed, or evidence was offered, with intent to defraud or deceive investigators, with an absence of Good Faith, the University may sanction that party for engaging in Abuse of Process.

4.7 Pay Transparency

The University will not discharge, or otherwise engage in discrimination, against employees or applicants because they have inquired about, discussed, or disclosed their own pay or the pay of another employee or applicant. However, employees who have access to the compensation information of other employees or applicants as part of their essential job functions cannot disclose the pay of other employees or applicants to individuals who do not otherwise have access to compensation information, unless the disclosure is:

a. In response to a formal complaint or charge,

b. In furtherance of an investigation, proceeding, hearing, or action, including an investigation conducted by the University, or

c. Consistent with the University’s legal duty to furnish information.

5. Related Information

5.1 Contact Offices and Contact Information

The Executive Director of Institutional Compliance and Ethics
Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics
1987 Cesar Chavez Lane - Riverfront Hall, Room 306
1910 University Drive
Boise, ID 83725
Email: ReportDiscrimination@boisestate.edu
Phone: (208) 426-1258

Student Diversity and Inclusion
Student Union Building – Second Floor
1910 University Drive
Boise, ID 83725
Email: sdi@boisestate.edu
Phone: (208) 426-5950
https://www.boisestate.edu/sdi/.
Office of the Dean of Students
Student Conduct Administrator
2100 University Drive - Campus School, Suite 120
1910 University Drive
Boise, ID 83725
Email: deanofstudents@boisestate.edu
Phone: (208) 426-1527
https://www.boisestate.edu/deanofstudents/

Department of Public Safety
2245 University Drive
Boise, ID 83725
Email: policeuniversitysecurity@boisestate.edu
Phone: (208) 426-6911

5.2 Support Services

In an emergency, call Public Safety at (208) 426-6911, activate a blue emergency phone on campus, or call 911.

Boise State University Counseling Services
1529 Belmont Street – NORCO Building
Boise, Idaho
Phone: (208) 426-1601
healthservices@boisestate.edu

Human Resources
2225 W. University Drive – Capitol Village #3
Boise, Idaho
Phone: (208) 426-1616
hrs@boisestate.edu

Office of the Dean of Students
2100 University Drive - Campus School, Suite 120
Boise, Idaho
Phone: (208) 426-1527
deanofstudents@boisestate.edu
Office of Institutional Compliance and Ethics  
1987 Cesar Chavez Lane – Riverfront Hall, Room 306  
Boise, Idaho  
Phone: (208) 426-1258  
complianceandethics@boisestate.edu

Faculty Ombuds  
Interactive Learning Center, Room 212  
Boise, Idaho  
Phone: (208) 426-6283  
facultyombuds@boisestate.edu
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