



**BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY**

**Internal Audit and Advisory Services**

**Independent Validation of Quality**

**Assurance Self-Assessment**

**November 23, 2016**

*Reviewers:*

*Betsy Bowers, CIA, CFE, CGFM, CIG, CRMA, CICA*

*Kathy Burgmeier, CICA*

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

November 23, 2016

*This Validation of the Self-Assessment of the Boise State Internal Audit Program was performed in accordance with The Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) Quality Assessment Manual, 2013 Edition. The primary purpose of a Quality Assessment is to determine the internal audit function's conformance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. There are three possible outcomes of the QA: the internal audit program **generally conforms, partially conforms or does not conform** to the Standards.*

Mr. Don Soltman, Audit Committee Chair, Idaho State Board of Education  
Dr. Robert Kustra, President, Boise State University

Mr. Larry Harmon, Executive Director, Internal Auditing and Advisory Services, Boise State University

Greetings:

We were engaged as the validators to conduct an independent Validation of the Self-Assessment Quality Assessment (QA) of the Boise State University Internal Audit Program as required every five years by the Institute of Internal Auditors *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (IIA Standards)*. The objectives of the QA were to:

1. Assess conformance with the *IIA Standards*;
2. Assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the Internal Audit activity in providing services to the Idaho State Board of Education Audit Committee and management of Boise State University; and
3. To identify opportunities for improving the Internal Audit Program at Boise State University.

In acting as independent validators, we are fully independent of Boise State University and have the necessary knowledge and skills to undertake this engagement. The Validation, conducted October 24-26, 2016, consisted primarily of reviewing and testing the self-assessment documentation related to the Boise State Office of Internal Audit and Advisory Services (IAAS) self-assessment report issued September 15, 2016. Additionally, we interviewed other audit team members and several key administrators. These interviews helped us gain a better understanding of the internal control environment within which Boise State University internal auditing operates.

Overall, it is our opinion that the **Boise State University IAAS generally conforms to the IIA Standards**, the highest rating available. We noted one opportunity that could improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the internal audit program, described in this report. We have reviewed the results of the validation with Mr. Larry Harmon, Executive Director, Boise State IAAS.

Respectfully submitted,

Betsy Bowers, CIA, CFE, CGFM, CIG, CRMA, CICA  
Associate Vice President  
Internal Auditing & Compliance  
University of West Florida  
Pensacola, FL  
Team Lead

Kathy Burgmeier, CICA  
Director of Internal Audit  
The University of Montana  
Missoula, MT

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary ..... 4

Conformance with IIA *Standards*..... 5

Positive Attributes of the Boise State University Internal Audit Program..... 6

Opportunity for Operational Improvement—Internal Audit and Advisory Services Department..... 6

    Appendix I - Engagement Methodology..... 8

    Appendix II – List of Stakeholders Interviewed..... 9

    Appendix III—Institute of Internal Auditing *Standards*..... 10

    Appendix IV – The IIA Research Foundation – Internal Audit Capability Model Matrix..... 11

    Appendix V – Biography of Reviewers ..... 12

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Executive Summary

The internal auditing function has a dual reporting organizational structure, functionally to the Idaho State Board of Education Audit Committee and administratively to Boise State University's (BSU) president.

To be compliant with international standards promulgated by the **Institute of Internal Auditors** *International Standards for Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (Standards)* Boise State University internal audit activity is required every five (5) years to have an assessment to ascertain compliance with these Standards and appraise the quality of operations. The method used was a self-assessment with independent validation.

Other matters that might have been covered in a full independent assessment, such as an in-depth analysis of successful practices, governance, consulting services and use of advanced technology, were excluded from the scope of this independent validation by agreement with Mr. Larry Harmon, Executive Director, IAAS (such exclusions are standard for an independent validation). We have communicated to Mr. Harmon suggestions for minor improvements. We believe these improvements, if implemented, will further add value to the internal audit services already provided.

Boise State University's IAAS is a respected internal audit operation among its higher education peers. The extent of their communication with the Idaho State Board of Education's (SBOE) Audit Committee seems appropriate and strong relationships appear to exist between the Executive Director and the Idaho SBOE Audit Committee as well as BSU's senior administration.

\*\*\*\*\*

Appendix IV is a maturity model developed by the IIA that is designed for commercial enterprises. It is presented for informational purposes only. There are other models perhaps more suited to the University's circumstances that could be used as a basis for discussion with senior management and the Idaho SBOE Audit Committee about BSU's internal auditing program's current and desired future state.

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Conformance with IIA *Standards*

**Generally Conforms** means that internal audit has a charter, policies and processes that are judged to meet the spirit and intent of the IIA *Standards* with some potential opportunities for improvement.

**Partially Conforms** means deficiencies in practice are noted that are judged to deviate from the spirit and intent of IIA *Standards*, but these deficiencies did not preclude internal audit from performing its responsibilities in an acceptable manner.

**Does Not Conform** means deficiencies in practice are judged to be so significant as to seriously impair or preclude internal audit from performing adequately in all or in significant areas of its responsibilities.

Overall, the **Boise State University Internal Audit Program was judged to **Generally Conforms** to IIA *Standards*, the highest rating available.** While minor improvement opportunities remain in various areas, they did not preclude this assessment. We concluded the following individual standards Generally Conform to the IIA *Standards*.

1000—Purpose, Authority and Responsibility  
1100—Independence and Objectivity  
1200—Proficiency and Due Professional Care  
1300—Quality Assurance and Improvement Program  
2000—Managing the Internal Audit Activity  
2100—Nature of Work  
2200—Engagement Planning  
2300—Performing the Engagement  
2400—Communicating Results  
2500—Monitoring Progress  
2600—Resolution of Senior Management’s Acceptance of Risk

While we found Boise State University in conformance with all the Standards, we did identify one Opportunity for Operational Improvement beginning on page 6.

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Positive Attributes of the Boise State University Internal Audit Program

- **Governance**—Boise State University Internal Auditing & Assurance Services (IAAS) Executive Director has dual reporting to the Idaho State Board of Education’s Audit Committee and BSU President. Executive sessions are held between the CAE and SBOE Audit Committee, which evidences independence. Additionally, the Executive Director meets periodically with the President to discuss internal audit operations.
- **Idaho State Board of Education and Senior Management Support**—Those interviewed conveyed a high level of support for the BSU internal audit program from the SBOE Audit Committee and BSU senior management. The internal audit program is well respected and seen as collaborative. Management feels comfortable seeking their opinion of problematic situations.
- **Working Paper Documentation**— Apart from the final audit report, workpapers represent the main documentary evidence of audit testing, discussions, and observations. While the organization, design, and content of audit workpapers will vary depending on the nature of the engagement, several important considerations are necessary to the creation of effective, high-quality workpapers. Completeness, accuracy and organization are key attributes of working papers. At BSU IIA the audit documentation was very thorough, well written and contained these key attributes. Standardization of working papers was found to be efficient and facilitated expedient work.
- **High-Impact Student Experiences**—BSU IAAS is sincere in helping support the university’s mission to provide high-impact student experiences. In 2013 the internal audit internship program was revitalized in partnership with the College of Business allowing one to two students to work in internal auditing in fall and spring semester. Many interviewed cited this as a noteworthy endeavor. Student interns work approximately 15 hours per week for 11 weeks at an hourly rate comparable to a local CPA firm. The students assist with all aspects of a current audit engagement or advisory review. The students sign the IIA Ethics Code and are taught best practices for conducting audit work. To date, there have been ten interns who have worked in internal auditing.

## Opportunity for Operational Improvement—Internal Audit and Advisory Services Department

{Boise State University IAAS conforms to the IIA *Standards* noted below. The item presented is a suggested enhancement to the internal auditing operations.}

**Follow-Up:** Internal audit reports contain management’s intended corrective actions in response to an audit finding. However, reports lacked two pieces of information: management’s intended implementation date and the responsible auditee. Furthermore, the IAAS internal procedures do not specify when an audit issue should be elevated to a higher level based on the amount of time that the audit recommendation has been outstanding or that management has been nonresponsive.

The lack of a formal agreed-upon implementation date and responsible auditee increases the risk that audit recommendations will not be implemented and complicates the audit follow-up process. We feel this weakens the internal controls at BSU. Delays in timely implementation

## Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

of audit recommendations or formally documenting management has accepted the risk of not implementing the recommendation, indicates a red flag regarding internal controls. Best practices in higher education generally state that implementation dates should be set within a 6 month period after the audit report date.

IIA *Standards* state:

**2500 Monitoring** *“The chief audit executive must establish and maintain a system to monitor the disposition of results communicated to management.”*

**2500. A1** *“The chief audit executive must establish a follow-up process to monitor and ensure that management actions have been effectively implemented or that senior management has accepted the risk of not taking action.”*

**2600 Communicating the Acceptance of Risks** *“When the chief audit executive concludes that management has accepted a level of risk that may be unacceptable to the organization, the chief audit executive must discuss the matter with senior management. If the chief audit executive determines that the matter has not been resolved, the chief audit executive must communicate the matter to the board.”*

### RECOMMENDATION

BSU IAAS should include in their audit reports: a) management’s intended implementation date and b) a responsible auditee. This will give a concrete timetable for implementation and help establish a timely follow up process that is designed to update the status of corrective actions implemented in response to IAAS's findings/recommendations. Protocol should also be established for when management does not provide or follow the implementation date. This tool should be leveraged by IAAS in assessing and reporting on all audit issues (open and closed). In situations where management accepts the risk of not implementing an audit recommendation, this should be documented by management and provided to BSU IAAS.

### BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY RESPONSE

The BSU IAAS Executive Director has implemented new procedures that require management responses to each observation and recommendation in audit reports to include the responsible employee for implementing the corresponding corrective action, the responsible supervisor for reviewing and approving the corrective action for adequacy and effectiveness, and the date that the corrective action will be implemented. This new procedure is effective for all audit reports issued in FY 2017.

Additionally, the BSU IAAS Executive Director will implement procedures to address situations when management does not provide or follow implementation dates by escalating these issues with the applicable Vice Presidents and the President. These procedures are currently being drafted, will be formally reviewed with the Vice Presidents and President at the February 1, 2017, Administrative Council meeting, and finalized and implemented by February 28, 2017.

## Appendices

### Appendix I - Engagement Methodology

Review procedures included:

- Audit charter and other background/organizational materials regarding BSU and the internal audit program
- Idaho State Board of Education Audit Committee charter
- Boise State University Policies and IAAS charter
- Boise State University Internal Auditing and Advisory Services Operating Manual
- Prior quality assessment (QA) reports
- QA advance preparation materials providing background on the internal auditing program and practices
- Annual audit plan, annual reports, midyear reports, and risk assessment process
- Selected internal audit project work papers and reports
- Training histories for staff
- Audit follow-up practices and reporting of follow-up activities

Interviews included:

- President of Boise State University
- Idaho State Board of Education President and former Audit Committee Chairperson
- Idaho State Board of Education leadership
- Boise State University officials



# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Appendix II – List of Stakeholders Interviewed

### ***State Board of Education***

Ms. Emma Atchley, Idaho SBOE, President and former Audit Committee Chair  
Mr. Chet Herbst, Idaho SBOE, Chief Financial Officer  
Mr. Scott Christie, Idaho SBOE, Financial/Performance Audit Manager

### ***Senior BSU Management***

Dr. Robert Kustra, President  
Dr. Marty Schimpf, Provost/Vice President for Academic Affairs  
Ms. Stacy Pearson, Vice President for Finance and Administration  
Dr. Leslie Webb, Vice President Student Affairs  
Dr. Mark Rudin, Vice President Research  
Mr. Kevin Satterlee, Chief Operating Officer, Vice President, and Special Counsel  
Ms. Randi McDermott, Chief of Staff  
Mr. Max Davis-Johnson, Associate Vice President Information Technology  
Mr. Doug Ooley, Information Security Officer  
Ms. Alicia Estey, Executive Director Institutional Compliance  
Ms. Jo Ellen DiNucci, Associate Vice President for Finance and Administration  
Mr. John Kaplan, Executive Director Campus Security & Police  
Mr. Curt Apsey, Athletic Director  
Mr. Max Corbet, Associate Athletic Director  
Mr. Kip McBean, Risk Manager  
Ms. Karen Henry, Executive Director, Office of Sponsored Programs

### ***Boise State Internal Audit Staff***

Mr. Larry Harmon, Executive Director  
Mr. Mark Eisenman, Internal Audit Manager  
Ms. Danielle Ricco, Internal Auditor  
Ms. Rene Belleque, Internal Auditor

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Appendix III—Institute of Internal Auditing *Standards*

### QUALITY ASSESSMENT EVALUATION

**Overall Evaluation**                      **GENERALLY CONFORMS**

For each *Standard* identified below, Boise State University Internal Auditing Services **GENERALLY CONFORMS** (highest rating)

Standard Number	Title of <i>Standard</i>
<b>Attribute Standards</b>	
<b>1000</b>	<b>Purpose, Authority and Responsibility</b>
1010	Recognition of the Definition of Internal Auditing, the Code of Ethics, and the <i>Standards</i> in the Internal Audit Charter
<b>1100</b>	<b>Independence and Objectivity</b>
1110	Organizational Independence
1111	Direct Interaction with the Board
1120	Individual Objectivity
1130	Impairment to Independence of Objectivity
<b>1200</b>	<b>Proficiency and Due Professional Care</b>
1210	Proficiency
1220	Due Professional Care
1230	Continuing Professional Development
<b>1300</b>	<b>Quality Assurance and Improvement Program</b>
1310	Requirement of the Quality Assurance and Improvement Program
1311	Internal Assessments
1312	External Assessments
1320	Reporting on the Quality Assurance and Improvement Program (QAIP)
1321	Use of “Conforms with the <i>International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing</i> ”
1322	Disclosure of Nonconformance
<b>Performance Standards</b>	
<b>2000</b>	<b>Managing the Internal Audit Activity</b>
2010	Planning
2020	Communication and Approval
2030	Resource Management
2040	Policies and Procedures

Standard Number	Title of <i>Standard</i>
2050	Coordination
2060	Reporting to Senior Management and the Board
2070	External Service Provider and Organizational Responsibility for Internal Auditing
2100	Nature of Work
2110	Governance
2120	Risk Management
2130	Control
<b>2200</b>	<b>Engagement Planning</b>
2201	Planning Consideration
2210	Engagement Objectives
2220	Engagement Scope
2230	Engagement Resource Allocation
2240	Engagement Work Program
<b>2300</b>	<b>Performing the Engagement</b>
2310	Identifying Information
2320	Analysis and Evaluation
2330	Documenting Information
2340	Engagement Supervision
<b>2400</b>	<b>Communicating Results</b>
2410	Criteria for Communicating
2420	Quality of Communications
2421	Errors and Omissions
2430	Use of “Conducted in Conformance with the <i>International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing</i> ”
2431	Engagement Disclosure of Nonconformance
2440	Disseminating Results
2450	Overall Opinions
2500	Monitoring Progress
2600	Communicating the Acceptance of Risks The IIA’s Code of Ethics

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Appendix IV – The IIA Research Foundation – Internal Audit Capability Model Matrix

	Services and Role of IA	People Management	Professional Practices	Performance Management and Accountability	Organizational Relationships and Culture	Governance Structures
<b>Level 5 – Optimizing</b>	IA Recognized as Key Agent of Change	Leadership Involvement with Professional Bodies  Workforce Projection	Continuous Improvement in Professional Practices  Strategic IA Planning	Public Reporting of IA Effectiveness	Effective and Ongoing Relationships	Independence, Power, and Authority of the IA Activity
<b>Level 4 – Managed</b>	Overall Assurance on Governance, Risk Management and Control	IA Contributes to Management Development  IA Activity Supports Professional Bodies  Workforce Planning	Audit Strategy Leverages Organization’s Management of Risk	Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Performance Measures	CAE Advises and Influences Top-level Management	Independent Oversight of the IA Activity  CAE Reports to Top-level Authority
<b>Level 3 – Integrated</b>	Advisory Services  Performance/Value-for-Money Audits	Team Building and Competency  Professionally Qualified Staff  Workforce Coordination	Quality Management Framework  Risk-based Audit Plans	Performance Measures  Cost Information  IA Management Reports	Coordination with Other Review Groups  Integral Component of Management Team	Management Oversight of the IA Activity  Funding Mechanisms
<b>Level 2 – Infrastructure</b>	Compliance Auditing	Individual Professional Development  Skilled People Identified and Recruited	Professional Practices and Processes Framework  Audit Plan Based on Management/  Stakeholder Priorities	IA Operating Budget  IA Business Plan	Managing within the IA Activity	Full Access to the Organization’s Information, Assets and People  Reporting Relationship Established
<b>Level 1 – Initial</b>	Ad hoc and unstructured; isolated single audits or reviews of documents and transactions for accuracy and compliance; outputs dependent upon the skills of specific individuals holding the position; no specific professional practices established other than those provided by professional associations; funding approved by management as needed; absence of infrastructure; auditors likely part of a larger organizational unit; no established capabilities; therefore, no specific key process areas					

# Boise State University – Internal Auditing Quality Assurance Review

## Appendix V – Biography of Reviewers

**Betsy Bowers** is the Associate Vice President/Chief Audit Executive for Internal Auditing and Compliance at the University of West Florida in Pensacola, Florida. Ms. Bowers is a past national president of the Association of College and University Auditors (ACUA). Ms. Bowers has been at UWF since 1993 and served as the chief audit executive during the entire time. In 2014/15, Ms. Bowers served as the interim vice president for Business, Finance, and Facilities at UWF. Previously, Betsy worked in Tennessee as the Internal Audit Director at Northeast State Technical Community College and Internal Auditor at East Tennessee State University resulting in a total higher education work experience exceeding 30 years. She completed the Institute of Internal Auditor's (IIA) Quality Assurance training and performed Quality Assurance Reviews at numerous other universities across the nation. Ms. Bowers is a Certified Internal Auditor (CIA), Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE), Certified Government Financial Manager (CGFM), Certified Inspector General (CIG), a Certificate in Risk Management Assurance (CRMA), a Certified Internal Controls Auditor (CICA), and earned her BA in accounting and MBA from East Tennessee State University. She serves on the Board for the Northwest Florida Institute of Internal Auditors, the Board for the Northwest Florida Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), and as ACUA Awards Committee chairperson. She has published articles in ACUA's "College and University Auditor," the IIA's "Internal Auditor," the Association of Local Governmental Accounts (ALGA) "Local Government Auditing Quarterly," and is a national speaker/presenter. Other professional memberships include the Association of Governmental Accountants, Southern Association of College & University Auditors (SACUBO), Society for Corporate Compliance and Ethics (SCCE), Association of College & University Women, and several civic organizations. Ms. Bowers taught White Collar Crime for UWF in the Justice Studies department and serves as an instructor for the IIA on the topics of new internal auditor and new audit manager.

**Kathy Burgmeier** is the Director of Internal Audit at the University of Montana in Missoula, Montana. Ms. Burgmeier has been at the University of Montana since 1981 working in payroll, student loans, accounts payable, internal audit, budget & planning, and has served as the Director of Internal Audit since 1991. Ms. Burgmeier served the Association of College and University Auditors (ACUA) as a Board Member, Nominations Committee and Midyear Chairperson for several years. She also received ACUA's Member Excellence Award. Ms. Burgmeier performed Quality Assurance Reviews at several other universities. Ms. Burgmeier is a Certified Internal Control Auditor (CICA) and earned her bachelor's degree from the University of Montana in Business Administration with an Accounting emphasis. Ms. Burgmeier has been a guest lecturer and presenter about Internal Auditing, Fraud and Internal Controls at the University of Montana, Beta Alpha Psi, Montana Society for Certified Public Accountants, and Pacific Northwest Higher Education Internal Audit Conferences.

\*\*\*\*\*

The members of the Review Team appreciate the opportunity to be of service to Boise State University and the courtesies and cooperation extended to us during this review.